# **Porirua's Proposed District Plan 2020**

## **Submission on Porirua's Proposed District Plan**

To - Environment and City Planning Team Date received 23/10/2020 Submission Reference Number #35

Wishes to be heard? Yes Is willing to present a joint case? Yes Could gain an advantage in trade competition in making this submission? No Directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission? Yes

#### Address for service:

Parker Craig / 27 47 Jones Deviation Pauatahanui Porirua 5381

Phone: 0212275260 Mobile: 0212275260 Email: crakars@hotmail.com

### Submission points

#### **Point 35.1**

## Support / Support in part / Oppose

Support in part

Section: SCHED7 - Significant Natural Areas Sub-section: SCHED7 - Significant Natural Areas

**Provision** 

**SNA183** Jones Deviation Bush Remnants

#### **Site Summary**

Three areas of lowland tawa forest, surrounded with regenerating manuka (Leptospermum scoparium; At Risk-Declining), kānuka (presumably Kunzea robusta; Threatened-Nationally Vulnerable), and broadleaved species. The tawa forest canopy contains emergent kahikatea (Dacrycarpus dacrydioides, of local interest) over a canopy of tawa, kohekohe, hīnau, ngaio, tītoki, karaka, red māpou, miro, totara (Podocarpus totara; of local interest), mānuka, kānuka, rimu (Dacrydium cupressinum; of local interest), mātai (Prumnopitys taxifolia; of local interest), miro (Prumnopitys ferruginea; of local interest), rewarewa, mamaku and kaikōmako. This site also contains an area of mānuka-kānuka scrub (kānuka, mānuka, lancewood, kōhūhū) and lowland kānuka forest on hill country. This site contains pines and is dissected by drives and paths. The southern part of the site contains an unnamed third-order waterway, which drains into the Pāuatahanui Inlet. Includes an area protected by a PCC covenant (3578) and includes indigenous vegetation on Acutely Threatened land environments.

under Policy 23 Rarity (RPS23B)

Relevant values Representativeness (RPS23A)

Diversity (RPS23C)

#### Submission

of RPS

The area identified in the plan does not correctly identify the actual area in question.

Only shows a rough guide taken by aerial shot even though PCC have been on site

## Relief sought

- 1) the area to be corrected detailed to reflect the site environement
- 2) If PCC want to contract actions/uses of area there should be compensation to the landowner
- 3) do not want interpretaion held as a verbal understanding
- 4) further points on more engagement